

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION	ON NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/605,	528	02/22/1996	CHARLES B. SIMONE	S4264.000/P0	S4264.000/P0 8170	
24998	7590	06/24/2005		EXAMINER		
		APIRO MORIN &	PORTER, RACHEL L			
2101 L Street, NW Washington, DC 20037				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
*** 4511	5.0, DO			3626		

DATE MAILED: 06/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
08/605,628	SIMONE, CHARLES B.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Rachel L. Porter	3626		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
· ·	Rachel L. Porter	3626						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	rass					
••	THE REPLY FILED 6/9/05 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of	-	e final rejection, whicheve	arielater Inno					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will <u>not</u> be entered	because					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);						
	 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for 							
appeal; and/or	tter form for appear by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	. (PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s								
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	·	•	-					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .								
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .		,						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good are and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	ched.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.			ince because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)						
13. Other:								
		onlike	-					

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The claims have been amended to recite new limitations such as: "means for verifying said gathered information"/"verifying said gathered information", "said weight values being assigned by analyzing the present value and future effects of said gathered information"; "said pre-defined suggestions being specific and closely tailored to said gathered and to the needs of said individual...,' and "said questionnaire being in the form of a plurality of survey questions." The scope of the previously presented claims has been altered, and further search and consideration would be required for the new claim limitations.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant argues new limitations which have not been entered.